



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,145	12/14/2001	Bjorn Liedtke	AZ.2993	7042

7590 10/01/2003

Robert W Becker & Associates  
707 Highway 66 East  
Tijeras, NM 87059

EXAMINER  
CHAN, SING P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1734

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,145	LIEDTKE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sing P Chan	1734	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 23-44 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
 \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_ .  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                    5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_ .                    6) Other: \_\_\_\_ .

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 23-28 and 30-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinobu (JP 64-052238) in view of Takahashi et al (U.S. 3,892,415).

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Shinobu discloses an apparatus for bonding two substrates. The apparatus includes a centering or guiding shaft with leaf springs, i.e. noses, projecting from the hollow part of the shaft, which is adapted to guide the substrates with though their inner holes, spaced apart the substrates, and allow the substrates to glide downward toward each other to effect bonding of the two substrates. (See English abstract of JP 64-052238 and as translated by Translator) Shinobu does not disclose the noses have linear outer surfaces, which edges of the inner holes of the substrates can glide downward during movement of said noses toward pin. However, one in the art would appreciate providing any mechanism, which allow the substrate to be supported until release and includes any outer surface configuration such as curve surface or a linear outer surface for the noses to allow any substrate to glide downward during movement of the noses and is well known and conventional as shown for example by Takahashi et al. Takahashi et al discloses record changer. The record changer includes three upper claws, i.e. noses, with linear outer surfaces, which edges

of the inner holes of the record can glide downward during movement of the noses toward the spindle. (Col 6, lines 5-51)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a mechanism with a linear outer surface for the noses as disclosed by Takahashi et al in the apparatus of Shinobu to provide a simple and inexpensive mechanism to easily position the substrates properly without damaging the substrates.

Regarding claim 25, Shinobu discloses the guide shaft is a centering pin. (See English abstract of JP 64-052238 and as translated by translator and Figures 1 and 5)

Regarding claims 26 and 44, Shinobu discloses up to four noses are provided for the guide shaft. (Translation provided by translator and Figures 3 and 4)

Regarding claims 27 and 28, Shinobu as modified above is silent as to a spring is used to biasing the noses in an outward direction. However, using spring to bias the noses in an outward direction is well known and conventional as shown for example by Takahashi et al. Takahashi et al discloses the noses are forced out of the shaft by a spring pushing downward. (Col 6, lines 32-40)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a spring to provide a biasing force to push the noses in an outward direction from the shaft as disclosed by Takahashi et al in the apparatus of Shinobu to provide a simple and inexpensive mechanism to guide substrates to a proper position with the spacing between the substrates until a substrate is release to joint with the previous substrate.

Regarding claims 30 and 32, Shinobu discloses a pushing shaft to actuate movement of the noses. (See English abstract of JP 64-052238)

Regarding claim 31, Shinobu as modified above is silent as to the noses are lever arms. However, providing noses as lever arms are well known and conventional as shown for example by Takahashi et al. Takahashi et al discloses the noses are lever arms. (Figure 8)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide noses as lever arms as disclosed by Takahashi et al in the apparatus of Shinobu to provide a simple and readily available mechanism for holding and supporting the substrates prior to release and jointing.

Regarding claim 33, Shinobu discloses the pushing shaft includes a conical shaped recess. (See English abstract of JP 64-052238)

Regarding claim 34, Shinobu as modified above does not disclose the ends of the noses that face the actuating element are rounded off. However, providing noses that face the actuating element with the end rounded off is well known and conventional as shown for example by Takahashi et al. Takahashi et al discloses the ends of the noses (95) that face the actuating element are rounded off. (Figure 8)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide noses with ends rounded off that face the actuating element as disclosed by Takahashi et al in the apparatus of Shinobu to provide a simple and readily available mechanism for holding and supporting the substrates prior to release and jointing.

Regarding claim 35, Shinobu as modified above is silent as to providing means to vary the biasing of the noses. However, Takahashi et al discloses providing a conical cam (93), which is a means to providing a biasing the noses and one in the art reading Shinobu and Takahashi et al would appreciate the conical cam would provide varying biasing to the noses as the noses approach the cam at various position to allow the noses to be extended outside the shaft at equal position. (Figure 8) It would be logically for one in the art to provide a means such as a conical cam to provide varying biasing to the noses to allow noses to be positioned outside the shaft or pin at the needed position to hold a substrate or substrates.

It would obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to logically provide a means such as a conical cam to biasing the noses in the apparatus of Shinobu to allow the noses to be positioned in the proper position to hold and retain the substrate or substrates until release.

Regarding claims 36 and 37, Shinobu as modified above is silent as to a tapered element is disposed in the pin movable counter to a biasing means, a spring, and is disposed between the tapered element and noses. Takahashi et al discloses upper claw holder (94), i.e. a tapered element, movable counter to a biasing spring. (Col 6, lines 32-40 and Figure 8)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a tapered element such as a upper claw holder movable counter to a biasing means and a spring as a biasing means as disclosed by Takahashi et al in the

apparatus of Shinobu to allow the tapered element to move and control the movement of the noses to properly release the substrate or substrates at the proper time.

Regarding claims 38 and 39, Shinobu as modified above is silent as to the biasing element is disposed between the tapered element and the noses. Takahashi et al discloses a conical cam (93), which is a functional equivalent of a biasing element disposed between tapered element and the noses for biasing the noses in an outwardly direction as the tapered element is moved toward the conical cam. (Col 6, lines 32-40 and Figure 8)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any means such as conical cam (93), for biasing the noses in an outward direction as disclosed by Takahashi et al in the apparatus of Shinobu to providing a simple, reliable, and readily available means for biasing the noses.

Regarding claims 40-43, Shinobu as modified above is silent as a tensioning element, i.e. a spring ring disposed on the inner periphery of the noses, is provided for drawing the noses inwardly as the outwardly biasing force is reduced. Takahashi et al discloses a spring ring is disposed on the inner periphery of the noses and once a shaft (85) is forced against the claw holder (94), i.e. tapered element, the noses are raised against the spring and away from the conical cam, which provide a outward biasing force and the force provided by the spring on the spring ring pushes on one of the corner of the noses to provide a force, i.e. a tension force, to direct the noses inward into the shaft. (Col 8, lines 53-65 and Figure 8)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a tensioning element, i.e. a spring ring disposed on the inner periphery of the noses, to provide for drawing the noses inwardly as the outwardly biasing force is reduced as disclosed by Takahashi et al in the apparatus of Shinobu to provide a means to reliably drawing the noses into the shaft to hold the substrate or substrates until release.

3. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinobu (JP 64-052238) in view of Takahashi et al (U.S. 3,892,415) as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Rack et al (U.S. 6,179,031).

Shinobu as modified above is silent as to a means for exerting pressure upon the substrates for effecting movement of the noses toward the pin or shaft. Rack et al discloses a method for adhering flat plastic substrates. The method includes pressing means, grasping apparatus (8) for exerting pressure on the substrate. (Col 4, lines 25-31) The combination of the pushing shaft (17) of Shinobu and the pressing of means of Rack et al would effecting the movement of the noses toward the pin.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a pressing means such as the grasping apparatus, as disclosed by Rack et al in the apparatus of Shinobu which would effecting the movement of the noses toward the shaft to provide a simple and readily available means to press the substrates and removing any excess adhesive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sing P Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-3175.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM-11:15AM and 12:15PM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

*Chan Sung Jo*  
spc

*Richard Crispino*

RICHARD CRISPINO  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700